# **Generalized Hertz Vector in the Dissipative Electrodynamics**

**Katalin Gambár Gambár** gambar.katalin@uni-obuda.hu

35

*Department of Natural Sciences, Institute of Electrophysics, Kálmán Kandó Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Óbuda University, Tavaszmező u. 17, H-1084 Budapest, Hungary Department of Natural Sciences, National University of Public Service, Ludovika tér 2, H-1083 Budapest, Hungary*

**Ferenc Márkus** markus.ferenc@ttk.bme.hu; markus@phy.bme.hu

*Department of Physics, Institute of Physics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Műegyetem rkp. 3., H-1111 Budapest, Hungary*

#### **Corresponding Author:** Ferenc Márkus

**Copyright** © 2023 Katalin Gambár and Ferenc Márkus. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **Abstract**

In the electromagnetic theory, the Hertz vector reduces the number of potentials in the free fields. The further advantage of this potential is that it is much easier to solve particular radiation processes. It indicates that the related method is sometimes more effective than the scalar and vector potential-based relations. Finally, the measurable field variables, the electric and magnetic fields, can be deduced by direct calculation from the Hertz vector. However, right now, the introduction of the Hertz vector operates if the conductive current density  $\mathbf{j} = \sigma \mathbf{E}$  is neglected. We suggest a generalization by the conductive currents, i.e., when the electromagnetic field dissipates irreversibly to Joule heat. The presented procedure enables us to introduce also the Lagrangian formulation of the discussed dissipated electromagnetic waves. It paves a new way involving damping physical fields in a thermodynamic frame.

**Keywords:** Dissipative electrodynamics, Generalized Hertz vector, Irreversible loss, Lagrangian formulation, Telegrapher's equation

## **1. MOTIVATION**

The material conductivity strongly effects the electromagnetic wave propagation which interaction may cause an energy loss but it may allow us to get information about the property of matter. The microwave cavity perturbation experiments [\[1\]](#page-5-0), are generally used to explore the material structure measuring the resonance frequency[[2](#page-5-1)]. Wide range of electric conductive novel materials can be studiedlike  $Li_4C_{60}$  $Li_4C_{60}$  $Li_4C_{60}$  superionic conductor [[3](#page-5-2)], single wall carbon nanotubes [4], with these high accuracy measurements[[5](#page-5-4)]. It seems that this experimental setup is applicable to study superconductor powders[[6](#page-5-5)]. We can conclude from the results that the heating effects can be also in the focus of the observations. The electromagnetic wave and thermodynamic heat couping appears in the so-called coupled electromagnetic and thermal skin effect problems[[7](#page-5-6)], which is a boundary layer approximation. This effect may play an important role in low dimensional phenomena. Similarly, the surface impedance problems [] may give unexpected physical effects in the electrodynamic and thermal interactions with the quantum property of materials. Hopefully, the presented generalization of the Hertz vector can be applied to study such physics as superconducting films in the surface impedance measurements [\[10](#page-5-7)].

Based on these physical motivations our aim is to formulate the electrodynamics of the conductive materials, treating by a single potential function. This potential can help us to couple the different fields like electric and thermal conductions. Hertz introduced this vector field by which he deduced the free electromagnetic field. Here, we show that the generalized Hertz vector field makes allow the description of energy dissipation related to the conductive currents. This generalization is the first step in the formulation by which the electrodynamic field may be coupled with the other fields in the future.

## **2. GENERALIZED VECTOR POTENTIAL, HERTZ VECTOR AND MODIFIED LORENZ CONDITION**

Certain mathematical operators, such as the first-time derivatives, can bring irreversible and dissipative behavior in theory, as in the case of thermal conduction and the damped oscillator[[11](#page-6-0)–[14\]](#page-6-1). This minor change in the structure of equations causes a particular challenge in the construction of Lagrangian [\[15](#page-6-2)–[18\]](#page-6-3). Now, are going to show you that the Joule heat brings another twist to the Lagrangian formulation of the electromagnetic theory.

In the case of free electrodynamic fields, the introduction of vector potential is essential to deduce from Lagrangian formulation since the Maxwell equations contain non-selfadjoint operators (firsttime derivative and divergence). The vector and scalar potentials generate the measurable physical variables. Mathematically these potentials are similarly applied, such as in the previous dissipative processes[[11](#page-6-0)–[13\]](#page-6-4). In general, we can recognize that the introduction of the potentials is independent of dissipation. (For a detailed comparative study of the requirements, please consult with Ref. [\[19\]](#page-6-5).)

Originally, Faraday introduced the term  $-\dot{\mathbf{A}}$  as an electrostatic force relating to the so-called "electrotonic state"[[20,](#page-6-6) [21](#page-6-7)]. Fortunately, the usage of the scalar and vector potential is also possible in the case of the existence of charges and currents [\[22](#page-6-8), [23\]](#page-6-9).

This fact shows that we can deduce the free electromagnetic field from a single generator field [[24,](#page-6-10) [25\]](#page-6-11). There are electromagnetic problems that cannot be solved or are complicated to elaborate without the Hertz vector[[26\]](#page-6-12). The symmetry of the Maxwell equations allow an alternative introduction of the Hertz vector involving its gauge symmetries [\[27](#page-6-13)–[29](#page-6-14)]. Ornigotti *et al*. showed that due to the transversality of the electromagnetic wave, the Hertz vector can be expressed as a product of a constant polarization vector and a scalar potential [\[30\]](#page-6-15). It may give the next physical conception to the Hertz vector formulations.

We aim to achieve the Hamiltonian structure of Joule heat-caused dissipative electrodynamics. In the presence of electrically conductive materials the Maxwell equations are

$$
\frac{1}{\mu_0} \text{curl} \mathbf{B} = \sigma \mathbf{E} + \varepsilon_0 \dot{\mathbf{E}},
$$
\n(1a)

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E} = -\dot{\mathbf{B}},\tag{1b}
$$

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\operatorname{div} \mathbf{E} = 0,\tag{1c}
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0. \tag{1d}
$$

The  $j = \sigma E$  term leads to the Joule heat generation. From a thermodynamical viewpoint, its importance is unquestionable. The difficulty is how to generalize the above formalism for the presentcase [[25\]](#page-6-11). It can be seen that the new term,  $\sigma \mathbf{E}$ , is troublesome difficulties. Let us introduce a generalized definition of the vector potential  $A_m$  and the Hertz vector,  $\Pi_m$  involving the term related to the current

$$
\mathbf{A}_m = \varepsilon_0 \mu_0 \mathbf{\Pi}_m + \sigma \mu_0 \mathbf{\Pi}_m, \n\varphi = -\text{div}\mathbf{\Pi}_m,
$$
\n(2)

and the modified Lorenz condition

$$
\mathrm{div}\mathbf{A}_m + \varepsilon_0 \mu_0 \dot{\varphi} + \sigma \mu_0 \varphi = 0. \tag{3}
$$

Here, we can point out the role of the Hertz vector  $\Pi_m$ . The formulated field quantities **E** and **B** are

$$
\mathbf{E} = -\varepsilon_0 \mu_0 \ddot{\mathbf{\Pi}}_m - \sigma \mu_0 \dot{\mathbf{\Pi}}_m + \text{grad } \text{div } \mathbf{\Pi}_m,
$$
  

$$
\mathbf{B} = \varepsilon_0 \mu_0 \text{curl } \dot{\mathbf{\Pi}}_m + \sigma \mu_0 \text{curl } \mathbf{\Pi}_m.
$$
 (4)

We can conclude that a single generator space is still sufficient to produce gauge spaces. The connections between the measurable field quantities E, and B and the potentials  $A_m$  and  $\varphi$  remain to have the same physical meaning

$$
\mathbf{E} = -\dot{\mathbf{A}}_m - \text{grad}\varphi,
$$
  

$$
\mathbf{B} = \text{curl}\mathbf{A}_m.
$$
 (5)

One can prove that all of the field quantities complete the requirement of damping wave (telegrapher) equation

$$
0 = \varepsilon_0 \mu_0 \ddot{\mathbf{G}} + \sigma \mu_0 \dot{\mathbf{G}} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{G},\tag{6}
$$

whereG can be E, B,  $A_m$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\Pi_m$ , without any restriction. By the first equation of Eq. ([4](#page-2-0)), the electronic field E can be expressed in an alternative form

$$
\mathbf{E} = \text{curl}\text{curl}\mathbf{\Pi}_m. \tag{7}
$$

#### **3. THE LAGRANGIAN FORMULATION**

We need to find a suitable Lagrange density function if we would like to deduce the field equation and exploit the Hamilton's principle. The construction is not self-explanatory. However, if it is possible, the existence of Lagrangian is of fundamental importance. Now, the formulated Lagrangian is

$$
L = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0 \left(-\dot{\mathbf{A}}_m - \text{grad}\varphi\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \left(\text{curl}\mathbf{A}_m\right)^2 + \sigma \text{curl}\mathbf{\Pi}_m \text{curl}\mathbf{A}_m
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \mu_0 \left(\text{curl}\mathbf{\Pi}_m\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{\Pi}_m \text{curl}\text{curl}\nabla^2 \mathbf{\Pi}_m - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0^2 \mu_0 \left(\text{curl}\mathbf{\Pi}_m\right)^2,\tag{8}
$$

which pertains to a dissipative process in electrodynamics. The elaboration of variation is necessary for each field function as variables  $A_m$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\Pi_m$ . The exactness of Lagrangian is complete if we obtain the relevant equations of motion, or field equations.

Applying the mathematical rules of variational calculus, the variation with respect to the variable  $A_m$ results the first Maxwell equation ([1a](#page-2-1))

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{A}}_m} + \text{curl} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \text{curl} \mathbf{A}_m}
$$
  
=  $\varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( -\dot{\mathbf{A}}_m - \text{grad}\varphi \right) - \frac{1}{\mu_0} \text{curl} \text{curl} \mathbf{A}_m + \sigma \text{curl} \text{curl} \mathbf{I}_m$   

$$
0 = \varepsilon_0 \dot{\mathbf{E}} - \frac{1}{\mu_0} \text{curl} \mathbf{B} + \sigma \mathbf{E}.
$$
 (9)

We arrive at the third Maxwell equation [\(1c\)](#page-2-2) by the variation  $\varphi$ 

$$
0 = -\text{div}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \text{grad}\varphi} = \varepsilon_0 \text{div}\left(-\dot{\mathbf{A}}_m - \text{grad}\varphi\right) = \varepsilon_0 \text{div}\mathbf{E}.\tag{10}
$$

The variation with respect to  $\Pi_m$  results the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
0 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Pi_m} + \text{curl} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \text{curl} \Pi_m} + \text{curl} \text{curl} \nabla^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \text{curl} \text{curl} \nabla^2 \Pi_m} - \text{curl} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \text{curl} \Pi_m}
$$
  
=  $-\varepsilon_0 \text{curl} \text{curl} \nabla^2 \Pi_m + \sigma \text{curl} \text{curl} \mathbf{A}_m - \sigma^2 \mu_0 \text{curl} \text{curl} \Pi_m + \varepsilon_0^2 \mu_0 \text{curl} \text{curl} \Pi_m.$  (11)

Applying the definition of generalized vector potential  $A_m$  in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-3), and after the simplifications, an expected telegrapher's equation appears for the generalized Hertz vector  $\Pi_m$ :

$$
0 = \varepsilon_0 \mu_0 \ddot{\mathbf{\Pi}}_m + \sigma \mu_0 \dot{\mathbf{\Pi}}_m - \nabla^2 \mathbf{\Pi}_m.
$$
 (12)

Wecan conclude that the Lagrangian in Eq.  $(8)$  $(8)$  $(8)$  involves and describes correctly the electric conductivity related term. Due to the Ohmic resistance Joule heat dissipation appears in the considered system. As a conclusion, we may say that this irreversible behavior of the electromagnetic theory is within the frame of the Hamilton's principle.

### **4. THE ELECTROMAGNETIC ENERGY LOSS**

As the Joule dissipation is involved in the Lagrangian, so it needs to contribute to the exposition of the Hamiltonian. This formulation of the loss of electromagnetic field transforming into the thermal field opens a novel way to couple these classical phenomena. The building up the Hamiltonian have the usual mathematical steps.

The canonical momenta exist to those field quantities that stand as pure time derivatives in the Lagrangian. So, in the present case, we can define the canonical momentum just for  $A_m$ , as is general in the Hamiltonian formulation

$$
P_{\mathbf{A}_m} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{A}}_m} = -\varepsilon_0 \left( -\dot{\mathbf{A}}_m - \text{grad}\varphi \right). \tag{13}
$$

Thus the Hamiltonian of the dissipative electromagnetic field is

$$
H = P_{\mathbf{A}_m} \dot{\mathbf{A}}_m - L
$$
  
=  $-\varepsilon_0 \left( -\dot{\mathbf{A}}_m - \text{grad}\varphi \right) \dot{\mathbf{A}}_m - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \left( -\dot{\mathbf{A}}_m - \text{grad}\varphi \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \left( \text{curl}\mathbf{A}_m \right)^2 - \sigma \text{curl}\mathbf{\Pi}_m \cdot \text{curl}\mathbf{A}_m$   
+  $\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \mu_0 \left( \text{curl}\mathbf{\Pi}_m \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{\Pi}_m \text{curl}\text{curl}\nabla^2 \mathbf{\Pi}_m + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0^2 \mu_0 \left( \text{curl}\mathbf{\dot{\Pi}}_m \right)^2, \tag{14}$ 

Since the Hamiltonian does not depend on time explicitly, thus its volume integral is constant, i.e., the totel energy is conserved during the processes. A simpler expression would be more practical to identify the energy terms. Applying the relations between the measurable physical quantities and the potentials, the Maxwell equations, the Lorenz condition, and taking into account that the Hamiltonian is unambiguous up to a divergence or a time derivative we obtain a compact formula

$$
H = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \mathbf{B}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \mu_0 \left(\text{curl}\mathbf{\Pi}_m\right)^2.
$$
 (15)

The first two terms give the electric and magnetic field energies. The third term pertains to the dissipative Joule heat loss caused by the conductive current. It seems the generalized Hertz vector has a particular role in the conductive eletromagnetic energy loss process. If an electric conductor does not take place in space than the electromagnetic energy remains constant.

#### **5. SUMMARY**

We pointed out that the Hertz vector can have a generalized form by which the Maxwell equations involving the conductive currents can be successfully produced. In this way, the Joule dissipation appears on a potential level. This generalization of the Hertz vector enables us to create the Lagrangian description of such an electromagnetic field in which we can handle the loss of electromagnetic energy. The calculated Hamiltonian of the process clearly shows that the electromagnetic field energy dissipates into Joule heat. If there is no conductive current in the space, the electromagnetic energy is conserved during the process. We hope that based on the presented Lagrangian formulation, the electromagnetic and the thermal fields can couple, by which further studies may be possible in the case of electromagnetic radiation in media. We believe that beyond thementioned cases in the motivation  $[2-10]$  $[2-10]$  $[2-10]$  $[2-10]$ , the method can even be extended to materials with magnetic behavior[[31\]](#page-6-16).

#### **6. ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

TKP2021-NVA-16 has been implemented with the support provided by the Ministry of Innovation and Technology of Hungary from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund. This research was supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH) Grant Nr. K137852 and by the Ministry of Innovation and Technology and the NKFIH within the Quantum Information National Laboratory of Hungary.

## **7. THE COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STATEMENT**

not applicable.

## **8. CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] Donovan S, Klein O, Dressel M, Holczer K, Grüner G. Microwave Cavity Perturbation Technique: Part Ii: Experimental Scheme. Int J Infrared Milli Waves. 1993;14:2459-2487.
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[2] Gyüre B, Márkus BG, Bernáth B, Murányi F, Simon F. A Time Domain Based Method for the Accurate Measurement of Q-Factor and Resonance Frequency of Microwave Resonators. Rev Sci Instrum. 2015;86:094702.
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[3] Quintavalle D, Márkus BG, Jánossy A, Simon F, Klupp G, Győri MA et al.. Electronic and Ionic Conductivities in Superionic LI 4 C 60. Phys Rev B. 2016;93:205103.
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[4] Márkus BG, Gyüre-Garami B, Sági O, Csősz G, Karsa A, et al. Heating Causes Nonlinear Microwave Absorption Anomaly in SingleWalled Carbon Nanotubes. Phys Status Solidi (B). 2018;255:1800258.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[5] Gyüre-Garami B, Sági O, Márkus BG, Simon F. A Highly Accurate Measurement of Resonator Q -Factor and Resonance Frequency. Rev Sci Instrum. 2018;89:113903.
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[6] Csősz G, Márkus BG, Jánossy A, Nemes NM, Murányi F, Klupp G et al.. Giant Microwave Absorption in Fine Powders of Superconductors. Sci Rep. 2018;8:11480.
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[7] Yuferev S, Yufereva J. A New Boundary Element Formulation of Coupled Electromagnetic and Thermal Skin Effect Problems for Non-harmonic Regimes of Current Passage. IEEE Trans Magn. 1996;32:1038-1041.
- [8] Yuferev S, Ida N. Efficient Implementation of the Time Domain Surface Impedance Boundary Conditions for the Boundary Element Method. IEEE Trans Magn. 1998;34:2763-2766.
- [9] Farahat N, Yuferev S, Ida N. High Order Surface Impedance Boundary Conditions for the Fdtd Method. IEEE Trans Magn. 2001;37:3242-3245.
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[10] Oates DE, Anderson AC, Chin CC, Derov JS, Dresselhaus G, et al. Surface-Impedance Measurements of Superconducting Nbn Films. Phys Rev B. 1991;43:7655-7663.
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[11] Márkus F, Gambár K, Non-Equlib J. Thermodyn. 1991;16:27.
- [12] Gambár K, Márkus F. Hamilton-Lagrange Formalism of Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics. Phys Rev E. 1994;50:1227-1231.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[13] Szegleti A, Márkus F. Dissipation in Lagrangian Formalism. Entropy. 2020;22:930.
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[14] Márkus F, Gambár K. A Potential-Based Quantization Procedure of the Damped Oscillator. Quantum Rep 4. Vol. 390;2022.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[15] Kristály A, Rădulescu VD, Varga C. Variational Principles in Mathematical Physics, Geometry, and Economics: Qualitative Analysis of Nonlinear Equations and Unilateral Problems. Cambridge University Press. 2010.
- [16] Tar JK, Rudas IJ, Bitó JF. Group Theoretical Approach in Using Canonical Transformations and Symplectic Geometry in the Control of Approximately Modelled Mechanical Systems Interacting With an Unmodelled Environment. Robotica. 1997;15:163-179.
- [17] Tar JK, Rudas IJ, Bitó JF, Kaynak OM. A New Utilization of the Hamiltonian Formalism in the Adaptive Control of Mechanical Systems Under External Perturbation. Intell Autom Soft Comput. 1999;5:303-312.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[18] Abonyi I, Bitó JF, Tar JK. A Quaternion Representation of the Lorentz Group for Classical Physical Applications. J Phys A Math Gen. 1991;24:3245-3254.
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[19] Gambár K, Lendvay M, Lovassy R, Bugyjás J. Application of Potentials in the Description of Transport Processes. Acta Polytechn Hung. 2016;13:173.
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[20] Faraday M. Experimental Researches in Electricity. London: Taylor Francis; 1855; 3.
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[21] Doncel MG, de Lorenzo JA. The Electrotonic State, a Metaphysical Device for Maxwell Too? Eur J Phys. 1996;17:6-10.
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>[22] Konopinski EJ. What the Electromagnetic Vector Potential Describes. Am J Phys. 1978;46:499-502.
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>[23] Gambár K, Rocca MC, Márkus F. A Repulsive Interaction in Classical Electrodynamics. Acta Polytechn Hung. 2020;17:175-89.
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>[24] Jackson JD. Classical Electrodynamics. John Wiley Sons, New York. 1999.
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>[25] Stratton JA. Electromagnetic Theory. McGraw-Hill, New York. 1941.
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>[26] Essex EA. Hertz Vector Potentials of Electromagnetic Theory. Am J Phys. 1977;45:1099-101.
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>[27] Kannenberg L. A Note on the Hertz Potentials in Electromagnetism. Am J Phys. 1987;55:370- 372.
- [28] Gough W. Prog Electromagn Res PIER. 1996;12:205.
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>[29] Elbistan M. Optical Helicity and Hertz Vectors. Phys Lett A. 2018;382:1897-902.
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>[30] Ornigotti M, Aiello A. The Hertz Vector Revisited: A Simple Physical Picture. J Opt. 2014;16:105705.
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>[31] Gresits I, Thuróczy Gy, Sági O, Homolya I, Bagaméry G, et al. A Highly Accurate Determination of Absorbed Power During Magnetic Hyperthermia. J Phys D: Appl Phys. 2019;52:375401.